

MINCO SILVER CORPORATION
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of Minco Silver Corporation ("Minco Silver" or the "Company") has been prepared on the basis of available information up to March 27, 2014 and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated. Refer to Note 3 of the December 31, 2013 audited consolidated financial statements for disclosure of the Company's significant accounting policies.

The Company's audit committee reviews the consolidated financial statements and MD&A, and recommends approval to the Company's board of directors.

Additional information, including the above mentioned audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the MD&A and Annual Information Form ("AIF") for the same period, which contain extensive disclosure of the history and properties of the Company, are available on SEDAR.

Minco Silver (TSX: MSV) was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia, Canada on August 20, 2004. Minco Silver is engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of silver dominant mineral properties. The Company indirectly through its wholly owned subsidiary Minco Investment Holding HK Limited ("Minco HK") owns a 90% beneficial interest in the shares of Foshan Minco Mining Co. Ltd. ("Foshan Minco") subject to a 10% net profit interest held by the Guangdong Geological Bureau ("GGB") in the Fuwan Silver Deposit, situated along the northeast margin of the Fuwan Silver Belt in Guangdong Province, People's Republic of China ("China"). The Company's activities in respect of the Fuwan Silver Deposit are sometimes referred to as the "Fuwan Project" or the "Fuwan Silver Project."

Foshan Minco is legally owned by Minco Mining (China) Corporation ("Minco China"), a subsidiary of Minco Gold Corporation ("Minco Gold"), and hold in trust for the Company.

Minco Silver Ltd., Minco Yinyuan Co., and Minco HK are the wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. The Company, indirectly through Foshan Minco, owns 100% of Zhongjia Jinggu Limited ("Zhongjia").

As at December 31, 2013, the Company had 59,328,085 common shares, 280,000 restricted share units, 940,000 performance share units, and 6,705,836 stock options for a total of 67,253,921 fully diluted common shares outstanding.

At the date of this MD&A, the Company has 59,608,085 common shares, 940,000 performance share units and 7,703,502 stock options, for a total of 68,251,587 fully diluted common shares outstanding. Minco Gold owns 21.8% of the outstanding shares of the Company.

Table of Contents

1. Highlights for the Year
2. Exploration and Project Development Activities
3. Results of Operations
4. Summary of Quarterly Results
5. Liquidity and Capital Resources
6. Off – Balance Sheet Arrangements
7. Transactions with Related Parties
8. Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments
9. Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Amendments
10. Financial Instruments
11. Risk Factors and Uncertainties

12. Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Controls over Financing Reporting

13. Cautionary Statement on Forward Looking Information

1. Highlights for the Year

- Official approval from Gaoyao County government for development of the Fuwan Silver Project
- Extension of the Land Usage Permit to December 31, 2014
- The Fuwan Exploration Permit was renewed for a two-year period ending on July 20, 2015
- The Mining Area Permit of the Fuwan project was renewed for a two-year period ending on April 10, 2016
- Completion of Preliminary Mine Design by China Nerin Engineering Co. Ltd. (“NERIN”)
- Hosted site visits, and preliminary discussion with a few large Chinese Companies as potential partners for the Fuwan project
- Maintained good relationship with Chinese federal and provincial governments

2. Exploration and Project Development Activities

2.1 Disclosure of Technical Information

Disclosure of technical information or scientific nature for the Fuwan Project has been disclosed in two Technical Reports, which are available on the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (“SEDAR”) at www.sedar.com or on the Company’s website at www.mincosilver.com. They are as follows:

The National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) compliant technical report entitled “Technical Report and Updated Resource Estimate on the Fuwan Property Guangdong Province, China”, dated January 25, 2008, prepared by Eugene Puritch, P. Eng. Ontario, Tracy Armstrong, P. Geo Ontario, and Antoine Yassa, P.Geo. Québec, all of P&E Mining Consultants Inc. (“P&E”). This technical report includes relevant information regarding the data, data validation and the assumptions, parameters and methods of the mineral resource estimates on the Fuwan Project.

Minco Silver Corporation News Release dated May 12, 2008 entitled “Minco Silver Announces a 31% increase in the Indicated Resource on its Fuwan Silver Project”.

The NI 43-101 compliant technical report entitled “Fuwan Silver Project Feasibility Study Technical Report” effective date September 1, 2009 (the “Feasibility Study”) prepared by John Huang, P.Eng., S. Byron V. Stewart, P.Eng., Aleksandar Živković, P.Eng. and Scott Cowie, B.Eng, MAusIMM, all of Wardrop Engineering Inc. (“Wardrop”), and Eugene Puritch, P.Eng. of P&E and all qualified persons for NI 43-101. This technical report includes relevant information regarding the data, data validation and the assumptions, parameters and methods used in determining the ore reserves on the Fuwan Project.

All other disclosure of a scientific or technical nature in this MD&A was reviewed and approved by Thomas Wayne Spilsbury, an independent director of Minco Silver, a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy CP (Geo) and a “qualified person”, as defined in NI 43-101.

2.2 Fuwan Silver Project

The Company’s principal property is the Fuwan Silver Deposit located in Gaoming County approximately 45 km southwest of Guangzhou, the fourth largest city in China with 13 million people and the capital city of Guangdong Province. The Company’s objective is to develop the Fuwan Silver Deposit and commence commercial mining operations on the property.

The Company has four reconnaissance survey exploration permits on the Fuwan Silver Deposit, having a total area of 153.04 sq. km, covering a major part of the northeast-trending Fuwan silver belt which hosts the known gold and silver occurrences in the Sanzhou basin, including the Fuwan silver and Changkeng gold deposit in which Minco Gold owns a 51% interest. The exploration permit for the Fuwan main deposit area is the Luoke-Jilinggang (57.16 sq. km.). The Company successfully renewed the exploration permit of Luoke- Jilinggang in 2013. This renewed exploration permit is a two-year period ending on July 20, 2015. Another three silver exploration permits on the Fuwan belt will expire on April 7, 2014, referred to as Guyegang (55.88 sq. km.), Hecun (12.7 sq. km.), and Guanhuatang (27.3 sq. km.), are held by Minco China

in trust for the Company. The Company is in the process of renewing these three permits with the Ministry of Land and Resources.

2.2.1 Current Developments on the Fuwan Silver Project

During 2013, the Company made great efforts to regain the support of local communities and had productive communication with Zhaoqian District government and Gaoyao County government for development of the Fuwan Silver Project before the submission of the revised EIA report to Guangdong EPA department. Due to the fact that the last public opinion survey was carried out in 2008, the Company conducted a new survey among local communities concerning the development of the Fuwan Silver Project and obtained very strong support from the locals. On May 26, 2013, Gaoyao County government issued an official approval of the development of the Fuwan Silver Project to the Company.

Several large mining groups in China expressed an interest in the Fuwan Silver Project in late 2012. During 2013, the Company hosted site visits, data reviews, and preliminary discussions with those groups; however no definitive agreements have been concluded as at the date of this MD&A. The Company's strategy is to secure a large Chinese mining group as a business partner to develop the Fuwan Silver Project.

The Company continues its focus on the EIA report and the permitting process in order to apply for a mining license for the Fuwan Silver Project.

In 2010 the Company engaged Guangdong Nuclear Design Institute ("GNDI") to complete the Chinese Regulatory EIA report. The EIA report was reviewed and approved by a technical panel appointed by the Department of Environmental Protection Administration of Guangdong Province in principle on March 7, 2010 with certain comments. The Company submitted the revised report to the Department in December 2010 after addressing the comments received from the panel.

The Company engaged General Station for Geo-Environmental Monitoring of Guangdong Province ("GSGEM") for a water monitoring study to comply with the new water regulations issued by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China effective on June 1, 2011. GSGEM carried out the required monitoring study and prepared all reports required for compliance with the new National Water Guidelines. The Company successfully completed the field work in January 2012 and received the comprehensive water monitoring report from GSGEM in April 2012. The report concluded that the Company is in compliance with the requirements of the new National Water Guidelines.

Revision of the EIA report has been completed incorporating the results from the water monitoring survey report. The revised EIA will be submitted to the Guangdong Environment Protection Administration ("EPA") as soon as they are accepting new EIA reports. The delay in approval of the EIA report for the Fuwan Silver Project is due to the negative impact caused by the collapse of the tailing dam of an operating mine in Guangdong Province three years ago. The preliminary mine design was completed in 2013 by China Nerin Engineering Co. Ltd ("NERIN") and will be released after the requirements from the approved EIA report are met.

The following summarized significant progress made in Permitting and Approval on the Fuwan Silver Deposit. In 2013, the Company successfully renewed the Mining Area Permit and the Land Usage Permit to keep the permits in good standing:

- The Chinese Preliminary Feasibility Study was completed by Changsha Non-Ferrous Mine Design Institute and approved by an expert panel.
- The Mining Area Permit, which covers approximately 0.79 sq. km, defines the mining limits of the Fuwan Silver Deposit and restricts the use of this land to mining activities was approved by MOLAR. The renewed permit expires on April 10, 2016.
- The Soil and Water Conservation Plan was completed and approved.
- The Land Usage Permit was approved by Gaoming County, Foshan City and the Guangdong provincial governments. The current permit expires on December 31, 2014.
- The Geological Hazard Assessment was completed and approved in September 2009.

- The Mine Geological Environment Treatment Plan was reviewed and approved by the Environment Committee of China Geology Association.
- The preliminary Safety Assessment draft report was completed in December 2011 and submitted to the Safety Bureau of Guangdong Province for approval.

2.2.2 Feasibility Study, Resource Estimates, and Exploration Program

There have been no significant changes in the Feasibility Study, resource estimate and exploration program during 2013 and as at the MD&A date compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

A comprehensive discussion of the Feasibility Study, Resource Estimate and Exploration Program are included in the Company's AIF for the year ended December 31, 2013, dated March 28, 2014 and is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

2.2.3 Changkeng Silver Mineralization

Minco Gold has assigned its right to earn a 51% interest in the Changkeng Silver Mineralization to the Company. Minco Gold is responsible for all the costs related to the gold mineralization on the Changkeng Property; the Company is responsible for the costs related to the silver mineralization. The renewed Changkeng exploration permit expires on September 10, 2015.

During 2013, the Company did not conduct any exploration activities at the Changkeng project, except for maintaining the exploration permit.

3. Results of Operations

3.1 Selected Annual Information

The following table summarizes selected financial information for the most recent three years ended December 31.

The selected information for 2013, 2012 and 2011 was prepared in accordance with IFRS.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total revenue | - | - | - |
| Net loss | (2,987,033) | (4,676,550) | (5,970,842) |
| Loss per share – basic and diluted | (0.05) | (0.08) | (0.11) |
| Total assets | 92,709,802 | 88,508,965 | 89,575,712 |
| Total long-term financial liabilities | - | - | - |
| Cash dividends declared per share for each class of share | - | - | - |

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$2,987,033 (loss of \$0.05 per share) compared to a net loss of \$4,675,550 (loss of \$0.08 per share) in 2012. Net loss decreased in 2013 mainly due to the US dollar appreciating against the Canadian dollar compared to 2012 resulting in a foreign exchange gain of \$1,375,542 compared to a \$166,997 foreign exchange loss in 2012, and also a decrease in share-based compensation in 2013 compared to 2012.

Total assets increased by \$4,200,837 to \$92,709,802 as at December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012 - \$88,508,965). This was primarily attributable to the US dollar and the RMB significantly appreciating against the Canadian dollar in 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$4,676,550 (loss of \$0.08 per share) compared to a net loss of \$5,970,842 (loss of \$0.11 per share) in 2011. Net loss decreased in 2012 compared to 2011 mainly due to the decrease in share-based compensation.

Total assets decreased by \$1,066,747 to \$88,508,965 as at December 31, 2012, but are relatively comparable to total assets of \$89,575,712 as at December 31, 2011.

3.2 Fourth Quarter

For the quarter ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Net loss for the three months ended December 31, 2013 was \$155,766 (loss of \$0.00 per share) compared to a net loss of \$475,882 (loss of \$0.01 per share) in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Net loss for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was \$475,882 (loss of \$0.01 per share) compared to a net loss of \$1,422,701 (loss of \$0.03 per share) in the fourth quarter of 2011.

The Company's working capital was \$64,332,571 at the end of the fourth quarter as at December 31, 2013 compared to \$64,318,847 at the end of the third quarter as at September 30, 2013. The increase of \$13,728 was due primarily to the US dollar significantly appreciating against the Canadian dollar during the fourth quarter of 2013, net of the day to day use of cash to support the Company's operations.

The Company's working capital was \$66,411,212 at the end of the fourth quarter as at December 31, 2012 compared to \$67,558,236 at the end of the third quarter as at September 30, 2012. The decrease of \$1,147,024 was due primarily to the day-to-day use of cash to support the Company's operations. The decrease in working capital was partially offset by a strengthening US dollar against the Canadian dollar in the fourth quarter of 2012 leading to an increase in the value of the Company's US dollar denominated assets.

3.3 Development costs

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$3,834,879, before a foreign exchange gain of \$2,522,521, on development for the Fuwan Silver Project compared to \$3,479,460, before a foreign exchange loss of \$278,216, for the comparative period of 2012. The increase in development costs during the year was mainly due to the following:

- Consulting fees for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$893,527 compared to \$519,804 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the Company engaging an external consultant in China to assist with the mining license application.
- Mining license application fees for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$838,130 compared to \$682,088 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the Company conducting an extensive new public opinion survey among local communities concerning the development of the Fuwan Silver Project. Also, additional meetings with agencies and consultants were held during the year.
- Share-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1,466,225 compared to \$1,195,809 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was mainly due to the fact that the Company capitalized \$791,460 of RSU expenses to mineral properties. No RSU expenses were incurred in 2012. The Company granted stock options for 1,900,000 common shares to its directors, officers and employees at a weighted exercise price of \$1.67 per share during the first quarter 2013 that vest over an 18-month period from the issuance date. In addition, the Company granted 560,000 Restricted Share Units ("RSU") to the Company's CEO on February 1, 2013 and granted 940,000 Performance Share Unites ("PSU") to the employees of the Company during 2013.
- RSUs are equity-settled and based on the value of the Company's share price at the date of grant that vest over a 12-month period from the date of grant. PSU are equity settled whereby 50% vests upon the Company receiving the final approval from Guangdong Provincial Government for the

EIA report for the Fuwan Silver Project and the remaining 50% vests upon the completion of the Company's obtaining the mining license issued by Ministry of Land and Resources of P.R.C. in respect to the Fuwan Silver Project. The weighted average grant date fair value of the PSU's was \$0.80.

Total accumulated development costs were \$27,369,966 as at December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012 - \$21,012,566).

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred \$3,479,460, before a foreign exchange loss of \$278,216, on development of the Fuwan Silver Project compared to \$4,550,521, before a foreign exchange loss of \$1,118,950, for the comparative year of 2011. The decrease in expenditures during the year was mainly due to the following:

- The decrease in share-based compensation of \$792,326 for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the reduced value of stock options granted in 2012 versus 2011.
- Consulting fees decreased to \$519,804 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$908,480 for the comparative period in 2011. The decrease was due to the resignation of the Company's Vice President Operations in late 2011.
- The decrease was partially offset by costs incurred in the revision of the EIA incorporating the results from the water monitoring survey report. EIA costs increased to \$257,269 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$20,545 for the comparative period in 2011.
- The foreign exchange loss was \$278,216 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1,118,950 foreign exchange gain for the comparative period of 2011 which was mainly due to the fact that the RMB depreciated slightly against the Canadian dollar during 2012 compared to a significant appreciation during 2011.

Total accumulated development costs were \$21,012,566 as at December 31, 2012 (December 31, 2011 - \$17,811,322).

The following is a summary of development costs capitalized or incurred for the Fuwan Silver deposit for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Accumulative cost ended December 31, |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Consulting fees | 893,527 | 519,804 | 908,480 | 4,476,108 |
| Drilling | - | 462 | 965 | 1,859,018 |
| Labor costs | 277,050 | 279,949 | 358,818 | 2,019,350 |
| Feasibility study | - | - | - | 1,880,527 |
| Share-based compensation | 1,466,225 | 1,195,809 | 1,988,135 | 6,630,672 |
| Mining design costs | 8,546 | 212,466 | 130,783 | 617,691 |
| Mining license application | 838,130 | 682,088 | 874,801 | 3,372,337 |
| Environment impact assessment | 78,120 | 257,269 | 20,545 | 966,310 |
| Travel | 84,704 | 88,082 | 73,124 | 459,220 |
| Site office rent and related costs | 188,577 | 243,531 | 194,870 | 1,880,668 |
| Total before foreign exchange | 3,834,879 | 3,479,460 | 4,550,521 | 24,161,901 |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) | 2,522,521 | (278,216) | 1,118,950 | 3,208,065 |
| | 6,357,400 | 3,201,244 | 5,669,471 | 27,369,966 |

3.4 Administrative expenses

The Company's administrative expenses include overhead associated with administering and financing the Company's development activities. The Company maintains a field office in Gaoming County, Guangdong province, an office in Beijing, China and an office in Vancouver, Canada.

The following table is a summary of the Company's administrative expenses for the three months and the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

| Administrative expenses | Three months ended December 31, | | | Year ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Audit, legal and regulatory | 84,469 | 80,001 | 144,712 | 328,194 | 444,825 | 531,181 |
| Amortization | 42,804 | 41,953 | 51,354 | 177,053 | 175,377 | 167,381 |
| Consulting | 24,904 | 78,833 | 105,350 | 68,633 | 236,355 | 350,155 |
| Directors' fees | 22,250 | 16,500 | 13,500 | 99,500 | 74,250 | 59,250 |
| Field office expenses | 190,012 | 141,052 | 120,375 | 563,576 | 467,366 | 395,957 |
| Foreign exchange loss (gain) | (721,772) | (490,109) | 273,501 | (1,375,542) | 166,997 | (918,736) |
| Investor relations | 13,038 | 88,181 | 150,337 | 175,225 | 292,544 | 557,014 |
| Office administration | 38,578 | 26,670 | 20,280 | 185,053 | 152,924 | 104,194 |
| Property investigation | 31,140 | - | 12,883 | 72,665 | - | 41,781 |
| Rent | 78,253 | 81,024 | 66,091 | 290,930 | 310,538 | 253,142 |
| Salaries and benefit | 170,159 | 185,498 | 103,047 | 451,214 | 452,574 | 314,114 |
| Share-based compensation | 309,103 | 444,951 | 525,332 | 2,393,720 | 2,792,280 | 4,757,454 |
| Travel and transportation | 4,038 | 12,805 | 41,604 | 28,777 | 30,641 | 61,179 |
| | 286,976 | 707,359 | 1,628,366 | 3,458,998 | 5,596,671 | 6,674,066 |

Administrative expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2013, exclusive of foreign exchange gains of 721,772, were \$1,008,748 compared to \$1,197,468 for the same period of 2012, exclusive of foreign exchange losses of \$490,109.

Administrative expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2012, exclusive of foreign exchange gains of \$490,109, were \$1,197,468 compared to \$1,354,865 for the same period of 2011, exclusive of foreign exchange losses of \$273,501.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company incurred a total of \$3,458,998 of administrative expenses (2012 - \$5,596,671).

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred a total of \$5,596,671 of administrative expenses (2011 - \$6,674,066).

Significant changes in expenses are as follows:

Audit, legal and regulatory:

Audit, legal and regulatory costs for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$84,469 which was comparable with \$80,001 for the comparative period of 2011.

Audit, legal and regulatory costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$328,194 compared to \$444,825 for the comparative period of 2012. The decrease was mainly due to the reduced audit fees and regulatory costs during 2013.

Audit, legal and regulatory costs for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$80,001 compared to \$144,712 for the comparative period of 2011. The decrease was due to the Company engaging external legal counsel to assist with regulatory compliance in the fourth quarter of 2011. No such assistance was required in 2012.

Audit, legal and regulatory costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$444,825 compared to \$531,181 for the comparative period of 2011. The decrease was due to the same reasons described above.

Consulting:

Consulting fees for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$24,904 compared to \$78,833 for the comparative period of 2012. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company engaged an external consultant to assist with the compensation report preparation; no such service was required at the same period of 2013.

Consulting fees were \$68,633 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$236,355 for the comparative period of 2012. The significant decrease during the year was due to the decision not to replace the Company's internal legal counsel who resigned in 2012.

Consulting fees for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$78,833 compared to \$105,350 for the comparative period of 2011. The decrease was mainly due to resignation of the Company's internal legal counsel in 2012.

Consulting fees were \$236,355 for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$350,155 for the comparative period of 2011. The significant decrease during the year was due to the same reason described above.

Director fees:

Director fees for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$22,250 compared to \$16,500 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to an increase in the annual director retainer fees during 2013.

Director fees were \$99,500 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$74,250 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the same reason described above.

Field office expense

Field office expense for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$190,012 compared to \$141,052 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was mainly due to a new field office (Zhongjia) established in 2013.

Field office expense was \$563,576 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$467,366 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the same reason described above.

Field office expense for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$141,052 compared to \$120,375 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase was primarily attributed to inflation costs in China.

Field office expense was \$467,366 for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$395,957 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase was due to the same reason described above.

Foreign exchange gains and losses:

The foreign exchange gain was \$721,772 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to a foreign exchange gain of \$490,109 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the US dollar appreciating against the Canadian dollar during the fourth quarter of 2013 and 2012.

The foreign exchange gain was \$1,375,542 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$166,997 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase in foreign exchange gain was due to the same reason described above.

The foreign exchange gain was \$490,109 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$273,501 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase was mainly due to the US dollar appreciating against the Canadian dollar during the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to a slight depreciation of the US dollar against the Canadian dollar during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The foreign exchange loss was \$166,997 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to a foreign exchange gain of \$918,736 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase in foreign exchange loss was

mainly due to the US dollar depreciating slightly against the Canadian dollar during 2012 compared to a stronger appreciation of the US dollar against the Canadian dollar during 2011

Investor relations:

Investor relations expense for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$13,038 compared to \$88,181 for the comparative period. The decrease was mainly due to the Company reducing its attendance at road shows relative to the comparative period, and also reducing its use of external consultants during period in 2013.

Investor relations expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$175,225 compared to \$292,544 for the comparative period of 2012. The decrease was due to the same reason described above.

Investor relations fees for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$88,181 compared to \$150,337 for the comparative period of 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in the use of external consultants for investor relations activities as well as decreased attendance at industry conferences in 2012 compared to higher activity levels in 2011 as the Company has undertaken a significant public offering.

Investor relations fees for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$292,544 compared to \$557,014 for the comparative period in 2011. The decrease was due to the same reasons described above.

Rent:

Rent expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$290,930 which was comparable to the \$310,538 for the comparative period of 2012.

Rent expense increased to \$310,538 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$253,142 for the comparative period in 2011. This was mainly due to the termination of the Company's sublease agreement with a third party in April 2012.

Salaries and benefits:

Salaries and benefits for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$451,214 which was comparable with \$452,574 for the comparative period in 2012.

Salaries and benefits for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$185,498 compared to \$103,047 for the three months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was due to the appointment of the Company's Controller and Vice President of Corporate Development in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Salaries and benefits for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$452,574 compared to \$314,114 for the comparative period in 2011. The increase was due to the increase in employee's remuneration and also due to the appointment of the Company's Controller and Vice President of Corporate Development in 2012.

Office administration expenses

Office administration expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$38,578 compared to \$26,670 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was mainly due to a newly approved key man insurance policy purchased for the Company's CEO in 2013.

Office administration expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$185,053 compared to \$152,924 for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the same reason described above.

Office administration expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$26,670 compared to \$20,280 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase was mainly due to an increase of director and officer insurance coverage in 2012.

Office administration expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$152,924 compared to \$104,194 for the comparative period of 2011. The increase was due to the same reason described above.

Property investigation

Property investigation expense was \$31,140 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$Nil for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the hiring of the Vice President of Business Development in November 2012.

Property investigation expense was \$72,665 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$Nil for the comparative period of 2012. The increase was due to the same reason as described above.

Share-based compensation:

Share-based compensation for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$309,103 compared to \$444,951 for the comparative period of 2012. The decrease was mainly due to the reduced number of options and reduced value per stock option granted in 2013 compared to 2012.

Share-based compensation decreased to \$2,393,720 for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$2,792,280 for the comparative period in 2012. The Company granted RSU's to the Company's CEO on February 1, 2013 and recorded \$87,939 share-based compensation in 2013. The increase was offset by the factor described above.

Share-based compensation for the three months ended December 31, 2012 were \$444,951 compared to \$525,332 for the comparative period of 2011. The decrease was due to fewer options granted and the reduced value per stock options granted in 2012 versus 2011.

Share-based compensation decreased to \$2,792,280 for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$4,757,454 for the comparative period in 2011. The decrease was due to the same reason above.

3.5 Finance and other income (expenses)

To date the Company has not earned revenue from operations other than interest income earned on short-term investments.

Settlement of Sterling break fee

On June 30, 2009, the Company filed a proof of claim with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in Idaho to collect a break fee, in the amount of US\$2,750,000 from Sterling. The break fee was included in the Company's June 23, 2008 agreement with Sterling. The Company reached a Settlement and Release Agreement pertaining to the break fee on May 29, 2012. The settlement amount of US\$675,000 (\$693,968) was approved by the US Bankruptcy Court in Idaho and received by the Company on June 26, 2012. The Company incurred legal fees and other costs of US\$262,357 (\$269,729) in its effort to collect the break fee. The Company has recorded other income of US\$412,642 (\$424,238) on the settlement of the break fee.

Interest income

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$806,038 compared to \$865,478 for the comparative period of 2012. The decrease in interest income was mainly due to the Company transferring US \$20 million to Minco China in accordance with the trust agreement signed on May 8, 2013. The funds transferred to Minco China were held in the registered capital account with an interest rate between 0.05% and 1.49%. The process to convert the US funds to RMB is currently being undertaken by Minco China.

Other expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2013, Minco China incurred \$334,073 (\$369,595 for the comparative period of 2012) to exchange the US funds into RMB as part of the requirement to increase the registered share capital for Foshan Minco.

4. Summary of Quarterly Results

| Period ended | Net loss | Net loss per share | |
|--------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|
| | | Basic | Diluted |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 12-31-2013 | (155,766) | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| 09-30-2013 | (1,497,810) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| 06-30-2013 | (697,162) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| 03-31-2013 | (636,295) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| 12-31-2012 | (475,882) | (0.01) | (0.01) |
| 09-30-2012 | (2,277,968) | (0.04) | (0.04) |
| 06-30-2012 | (289,273) | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| 03-31-2012 | (1,633,427) | (0.03) | (0.03) |

Variations in quarterly performance over the eight quarters were primarily due to changes in foreign exchange rates and share-based compensation. Appreciation or depreciation of the US dollar can result in significant foreign exchange gains and losses due to the US dollar funds held by the Company.

5. Liquidity and Capital Resources

5.1 Cash Flows

| | Years ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Operating activities | (3,227,358) | 576,571 |
| Financing activities | 7,000 | 405,601 |
| Investing activities | 2,177,032 | (5,739,759) |

Operating activities

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company used \$3,227,358 of cash in operating activities compared to \$576,571 of cash generated in the comparative period of 2012. It was primarily due to cash used for day to day operations during the year. The cash generated in the comparative period of 2012 is primarily due to the prepaid expenses in 2011 advanced to effect the foreign currency exchange that was completed in 2012.

Financing activities

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company received \$7,000 proceeds from the exercise of stock options to arrive at cash inflows compared to \$405,601 proceeds received from the exercise of stock options during 2012.

Investing activities

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company generated cash of \$2,177,032 (cash used in 2012 - \$5,739,759) in investing activities. This was primarily due to the net redemption of short term investments of \$5,889,823 compared to the purchase of short term investments of \$2,478,966 in 2012 offset with cash used on development costs of \$2,378,304 (2012 - \$2,285,604) and advances to Minco Gold.

5.2 Capital Resources

The Company uses the following key financial measurements to assess its financial condition and liquidity:

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31 2012 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Working capital | 64,332,571 | 66,411,212 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 23,580,514 | 22,586,298 |
| Short-term investment | 36,976,308 | 42,550,265 |

The Company's working capital has decreased to \$64,332,571 as at December 31, 2013 compared to \$66,411,212 at the year end of 2012. The decrease in working capital was due primarily to the day-to-day use of cash to support the Company's operations.

The Company does not generate revenues and relies on equity and debt financing for its working capital requirements to fund exploration, development, permitting and administrative activities. As at December 31, 2013, the Company has sufficient working capital available to meet its current operational and development obligations.

The Company cannot invest directly in Foshan Minco as Foshan Minco is legally owned by Minco China. Minco China is a wholly foreign owned entity ("WFOE") for the purposes of Chinese law and is a subsidiary of Minco Gold. All funding supplied by Minco Silver for financing of the Fuwan Project must first go through Minco China via Minco Gold to comply with Chinese Law. This approach will be applied when profits to be realized by Foshan Minco are repatriated to Minco Silver, they must first pass through Minco China, Minco Resources and Minco Gold. In the normal course of business the Company uses trust agreements when providing cash, denominated in US dollars, to Minco China via Minco Gold for the purpose of increasing the registered capital of Foshan Minco.

Minco China is a wholly owned subsidiary of Minco Resources. Minco China is a registered entity in China however it is classified as being a WFOE and therefore can receive foreign investment. Foshan Minco is a Chinese company with registered capital denominated in RMB and therefore can only receive domestic investment from Minco China. Increases to the registered capital of Foshan Minco must be denominated in RMB.

For the cash denominated in RMB that is maintained in China, the remittance of funds to jurisdictions outside China may be subject to government rules and regulations on foreign currency controls. Such remittance may require approval by the relevant government authorities or designated banks in China or both.

Under Chinese law, WFOEs are subject to restrictions on the repatriation of profits out of China. In order to repatriate profits from China to Minco Gold and ultimately, Minco Silver, the Company must comply with Chinese regulations pertaining to repatriations. In order to repatriate profits to Canada, Minco China must provide the following documents to its Chinese bank: (i) a board resolution authorizing the distribution; (ii) a capital verification report and an audit report; (iii) a tax certificate, which shows that Minco China has paid the related tax in compliance with Chinese laws; and (iv) a foreign exchange registration certificate.

The Company has been offered, through Foshan Minco, a debt facility in the amount of RMB 300 million (approximately \$52.5 million) from the Guangdong Branch of the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China, when the Company obtains the mining license of the Fuwan Project. This facility is to be used for the construction of the Fuwan Project mine.

5.3 Contractual Obligations

The Company's contractual obligations are related to a cost sharing agreement between the Company, Minco Gold and MBM, related parties domiciled in Canada, and outlines shared expenses incurred by the three companies including consulting and rental expenses. The cost sharing agreement is renegotiated or amended by the parties annually.

The Company entered into multiple agreements with consultants in respect of the design of the Fuwan Silver mine. The Company has no other long-term debt at this time.

| Contractual obligations | Payments due by period | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Long-term debt | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital lease obligations | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating leases (1) | 876,913 | 543,948 | 304,978 | 5,248 | 22,739 |
| Purchase obligations (2) | 1,493,256 | - | 1,493,256 | - | - |
| Other obligations (3) | 1,483,984 | 843,984 | 640,000 | - | - |
| Total contractual obligations | 3,854,153 | 1,387,932 | 2,438,234 | 5,248 | 22,739 |

- (1) Office rental payments – Canada and China
- (2) Payments in respect of the Fuwan Silver Project mine design contract
- (3) Key management personnel, accounts payable and accrued liabilities

5.4 Use of Proceeds from Public Offering

The Company closed a public offering by way of short form prospectus, of 7,600,000 common shares at a price of \$5.95 per share for gross proceeds of \$45,220,000 on March 3, 2011. The Company intends to use the funds towards its ongoing efforts to complete the permitting process for the Fuwan Project and for general corporate purposes. In the event that the Company receives all permits and licenses required to construct and operate the mine on the Fuwan Project, a portion of the funds will be used to partially fund the pre-production capital costs of the mine. The total pre-production capital costs of the Fuwan Silver Project are estimated to be US\$73,060,000.

There have been no significant changes in the planned use of proceeds for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the Company's initial plan.

Use of the proceeds in funding the pre-production capital costs of the Fuwan Project will not occur until the permitting process is complete, allowing the Company to construct and operate the Fuwan Project mine.

6. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

7. Transactions with Related Parties

Funding of Foshan Minco

The Company cannot invest directly in Foshan Minco as Foshan Minco is legally owned by Minco China. All funding supplied by the Company for exploration of the Fuwan Project must first go through Minco China via Minco Gold to comply with Chinese law. In the normal course of business the Company uses trust agreements when providing cash, denominated in US dollars, to Minco China via Minco Gold for the purpose of increasing the registered capital of Foshan Minco. Minco China is a registered entity in China and is classified as being a wholly foreign-owned entity and therefore can receive foreign investment. Foshan Minco is a Chinese company with registered capital denominated in RMB and therefore can only

receive domestic investment from Minco China. Increases to the registered capital of Foshan Minco must be denominated in RMB.

On August 12, 2011, the Company, Minco Gold and Minco China, entered into a trust agreement in which Minco Gold and Minco China confirmed that they received the US\$10 million and Minco China was required to exchange these US funds into RMB in order to increase Foshan Minco's registered share capital. As at December 31, 2013, all the funds had been transferred from Minco China to Minco Yinyuan and Foshan Minco, and this trust agreement was effectively settled.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company advanced US \$20 million to Minco China via Minco Resources and Minco Gold in accordance with a trust agreement signed on April 30, 2013 in which Minco Silver agreed to advance US \$20 million to Minco China to increase Foshan Minco's registered capital. Minco China will exchange these US funds into RMB.

Minco China is required to exchange the US dollars into RMB, before the money can be used to increase the registered capital of Foshan Minco. The exchange of US dollars into RMB requires approval from the State Administration of Foreign Exchange ("SAFE"). In order to obtain SAFE approval to effect the foreign currency exchange, Minco China, on behalf of Minco Silver has engaged a third party consultant to enter into purchase and sales transaction to exchange US dollars into RMB. During the year ended December 31, 2013, Minco China paid and accrued consultancy fees totaling RMB 1,697,520 (\$281,882) (2012 – RMB 2,274,906 (\$359,757), 2011- \$Nil) due to a third party, who assisted in the completion of currency exchange of the US funds into RMB.

As at December 31, 2013, Minco China held US\$ 12,526,138 (\$13,399,210) and RMB 14,613,570 (\$2,556,161) in trust for the Company.

Shared expenses

The Company shares its Vancouver office with Minco Gold and MBM, and shares its Beijing offices with Minco Gold, which allocates a portion of salaries, rent and office administration expenses at cost to the Company.

Amounts due from related parties as at December 31, 2013 were \$3,584,387 (December 31, 2012 – due from related parties of \$1,250,129) and consisted of the following:

Amounts due to Minco China as at December 31, 2013 of \$15,847 (December 31, 2012 – \$1,075,820) representing expenditures incurred by Minco China on behalf of Foshan Minco.

Amounts due from Minco Gold as at December 31, 2013 of \$3,600,234 (December 31, 2012 – \$2,325,949) representing funds advanced from Minco Silver to Minco Gold to support its operating activities in Canada, net of shared head office expenses.

The amounts due are unsecured, non-interest bearing and payable on demand. Minco Gold is a junior exploration company, consequently its ability to repay the loan is subject to the entity's ability to raise funds. The liquidity position of Minco Gold may result in uncertainty as to the timing of repayment.

The above two amounts will be net settled and accordingly have been presented as a net balance on the consolidated statements of financial position.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company paid or accrued \$157,296 (December 31, 2012 – \$133,153, December 31, 2011 - \$91,210) in respect of rent and \$617,044 (December 31, 2012 – \$563,879, December 31, 2011 - \$488,229) in respect of shared head office expenses and administration costs to Minco Gold.

The above transactions are conducted in the normal course of business.

Key management compensation

In the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the following compensation was paid to key management. Key management includes the Company's directors and senior management. This compensation is included in development costs and administrative expenses.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Cash remuneration | 873,124 | 909,302 | 1,076,231 |
| Share-based compensation | 2,751,426 | 2,493,631 | 4,649,089 |
| | <u>3,624,550</u> | <u>3,402,933</u> | <u>5,725,320</u> |

8. Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements requires management to use judgment in applying its accounting policies, estimates and assumptions about the future. Estimates and other judgments are continuously evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations about future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The following discusses the most significant accounting judgments and estimates that the company has made in the preparation of the financial statements:

Impairment

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the Company's mineral interest is evaluated every reporting period to determine whether there are any indications of impairment. If any such indication exists, which is judgmental, a formal estimate of recoverable amount is performed and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount. The recoverable amount of an asset or cash generating group of assets is measured at the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use.

The evaluation of asset carrying values for indications of impairment includes consideration of both external and internal sources of information, including such factors as market and economic conditions, silver prices, future plans for the Company's mineral properties and mineral resources and/or reserve estimates.

Management has assessed for impairment indicators on the Company's mineral interest and has concluded that no impairment indicators existed as of December 31, 2013.

In the opinion of management, none of the accounting estimates reflect matters that are highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate is made or that would have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

9. Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Amendments

Effective January 1, 2013, the Company adopted the four new accounting standards and amendment to IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*,

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 10 establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities. This standard (i) requires a parent entity (an entity that controls one or more other entities) to present consolidated financial statements; (ii) defines the principle of control, and establishes control as the basis for consolidation; (iii) sets out how to apply the principle of control to identify whether an investor controls an investee and therefore must consolidate the investee; and (iv) sets out the accounting requirements for the preparation of consolidated financial statements. IFRS 10 supersedes IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements* and SIC-12 *Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities*. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11, requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionately consolidate or equity account for interests in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31, *Interests in Joint Ventures*, and SIC-13, *Jointly Controlled*

Entities — Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12 establishes disclosure requirements for interests in other entities, such as subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates, and unconsolidated structured entities. The standard carries forward existing disclosures and also introduces significant additional disclosure that address the nature of, and risks associated with, an entity's interests in other entities. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 27, IAS 28 *Investments in Associates* and IAS 31, *Interest in Joint Ventures*. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements, other than additional disclosures about the Company's subsidiaries, refer to Note 3 in the consolidated financial statements for detail.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

IFRS 13 is a comprehensive standard for fair value measurement and disclosure for use across all IFRS standards. The new standard clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, at the measurement date. Under existing IFRS, guidance on measuring and disclosing fair value is dispersed among the specific standards requiring fair value measurements and does not always reflect a clear measurement basis or consistent disclosures. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the measurement of any balances on our consolidated financial statements

IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements

IAS 1 has been amended to require entities to separate items presented in other comprehensive income into two groups, based on whether or not items may be recycled in the future.

9.1 Accounting Standards Issued but Not Yet Applied

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments

IFRS 9, was issued in November 2009 and addresses classification and measurement of financial assets. It replaces the multiple category and measurement models in IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* for debt instruments with a new mixed measurement model having only two categories: amortized cost and fair value through profit or loss. Requirements for financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9 in October 2010 and they largely carried forward existing requirements in IAS 39 except that fair value changes due to credit risk for liabilities designated at fair value through profit and loss are generally recorded in other comprehensive income. This effective date of this new standard has recently been deferred by the IASB, and will be no earlier than annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2017. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard or determined whether it will adopt earlier.

IFRIC 21, *Levies* was issued on May 20, 2013 and provides guidance on when to recognize a liability for a levy imposed by a government, both for levies that are accounted for in accordance with IAS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* and those where the timing and amount of the levy is certain. IFRIC 21 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard.

10. Financial Instruments

Financial assets and liabilities have been classified into categories that determine their basis of measurement and, for items measured at fair value, whether changes in fair value are recognized in the statement of operations or comprehensive loss. Those categories are: fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, and amortized cost for liabilities.

The following table shows the carrying values of assets and liabilities for each of these categories at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Loans and receivables | \$ | \$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 23,580,514 | 22,586,298 |
| Short-term investments | 36,976,308 | 42,550,265 |
| Receivables | 291,778 | 148,244 |
| Due from related parties | 3,584,387 | 1,250,129 |
| Other financial liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 523,984 | 512,604 |

The carrying value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities approximate their fair value.

Financial risk factors

The company's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: market risk (including currency risk and interest rate risk), credit risk and liquidity risk. Risk management activities are carried out by management, who identifies and evaluates the financial risks.

Foreign exchange risk

The functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar and the functional currency of its Chinese subsidiaries is RMB. Most of the foreign currency risk is related to US dollar funds held by the Company and its Chinese subsidiaries. Therefore, the Company's net earnings are impacted by fluctuations in the valuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar and RMB.

The Company does not hedge its exposure to currency fluctuations. The Company has completed a sensitivity analysis to estimate the impact that a change in foreign exchange rates would have on the net loss of the Company, based on the Company's net US\$13.5 million monetary assets as at December 31, 2013. This sensitivity analysis shows that a change of +/- 10% in US\$ foreign exchange rate would have a +/- US\$1.4 million impact on net loss.

Interest rate risk

Financial instruments that expose the Company to interest rate risk are cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

The Company has completed a sensitivity analysis to estimate the impact that a change in interest rates would have on the net loss of the Company. This sensitivity analysis shows that a change of +/- 100 basis points in interest rate would have a +/- \$0.6 million impact on net loss. This impact is primarily as a result of the Company holding short-term investments such as guaranteed investment certificates and as a result of the Company having cash invested in interest bearing accounts.

11. Risks Factor and Uncertainties

A comprehensive discussion of risk factors is included in the Company's AIF for the year ended December 31, 2013, dated March 28, 2014 available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

12. Disclosure Controls and Procedure and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Management has established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information disclosed in this MD&A and the related financial statements was properly recorded, processed, summarized and reported to the Company's Board and Audit Committee. Management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as at December 31, 2013 and has concluded, based on its evaluation, that these controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that material information relating to the Company is made known to management and reported as required. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. Any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even

those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

The control framework used to design the Company's internal control over financial reporting is the Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management has evaluated the effectiveness of design and operation of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013. Based on the result of this assessment, management has concluded that the Company's internal controls over financial reporting are effective.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, there have been no material changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

13. Cautionary Statement of Forward Looking Information

Except for statements of historical fact, this MD&A contains certain “forward looking information” and “forward looking statements” within the meaning of applicable securities laws, which reflect management's current expectations regarding, among other things and without limitation, the Company's future growth, results of operations, performance and business prospects, opportunities, future price of minerals and the effects thereof, the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, the timing and amount of estimated capital expenditures, the realization of mineral reserves estimates, costs and timing of proposed activities, plans and budgets for and expected results of exploration activities, exploration and permitting timelines, requirements for additional capital, government regulation of mining operations, environmental risks, reclamation obligations and expenses, the availability of future acquisition opportunities and use of the proceeds of from financing. Generally, forward looking statements and information can be identified by the use of forward looking terminology such as “plans”, “expects”, “is expected”, “budget”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “forecasts”, “intends”, “anticipates”, “believes” or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might”, “will be taken”, “occur” or “be achieved” or the negative connotation thereof.

Forward looking statements are made based upon certain assumptions and other important factors that, if untrue, could cause the actual results, performances or achievements of the Company to be materially different from future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Such statements and information are based on numerous assumptions regarding present and future business strategies and the environment in which the Company operates, including the price of silver, anticipated costs and ability to achieve goals. Certain important factors that could cause actual results, performances or achievements to differ materially from those in the forward looking statements include, among others, silver price volatility, mineral reserves and resources and metallurgical recoveries, mining operational and development risks, litigation risks, regulatory restrictions (including environmental regulatory restrictions and liability), activities by governmental authorities (including changes in taxation), currency fluctuations, the speculative nature of mineral exploration, the global economic climate, dilution, share price volatility, competition, loss of key employees, additional funding requirements and defective title to mineral claims or property.

Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those described in forward looking statements, there may be other factors that cause events or results not be as anticipated, estimated or intended.

Such forward looking information involves known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by statements containing forward looking information. Such factors include, among others: results of exploration and development activities, management's historical experience with development-stage mining operations, regulatory changes, possible variations in reserves, grades or recovery rates, availability of material and equipment, timeliness of governmental approvals, changes in commodity prices (particularly silver prices), general economic, market and business conditions, unanticipated environmental impacts on operations, the availability of capital of acceptable terms, and the other factors discussed in the section entitled “Risk and Uncertainties” in this MD&A.

Forward looking statements included or incorporated by reference in this MD&A are based on a number of assumptions including:

- The Company being able to successfully complete the Mining License Application process and begin construction of the Fuwan Silver mine.
- The approval of the Company's EIA by Chinese authorities.
- The continued availability of equity and debt financing to fund the completion of the Fuwan Silver Project mine and other exploration and development activities.
- Intended use of proceeds from the Company's previous public offering.
- The continued ability of the Company to attract and retain key management personnel.
- The ability of the Company to evaluate silver-dominant project outside China for potential acquisition.
- The ability of the Company to pursue an alternative strategy in finding a large mining group in China as a business partner.

Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that statements containing forward looking information will prove to be accurate as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on statements containing forward looking information.

The Company undertakes no obligation to update forward looking information if circumstances or management's estimates or opinions should change except as required by law. Users of this MD&A are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward looking statements.